UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,679	07/14/2005	Norihiko Kageyama	47234-0005-00 (216870)	6880
	7590 08/14/200 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		STULII, VERA		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/530,679	KAGEYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	VERA STULII	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	ne 2009					
	action is non-final.					
·=		secution as to the merits is				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte gadyle, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	70 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,21-32,39 and 40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>——</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,21-32,39 and 40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
,—						
	10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2005</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
B) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/22/2009; 06/01/2009; 08/06/2009</u> . 6) ☑ Other:						
1 apoi 110(3)/Mail Date 04/2/2/2003, 00/01/2003, 00/00/2003.						



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 21, 23-25, 29, 32 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakahara et al (WO 9,952,841) (US 6,331,320 is relied upon as a translation of WO 9,952,841) for the same reasons as stated in the Office action mailed 01/27/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3, 28, 30, 31 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakahara et al (WO 9,952,841) (US 6,331,320 is relied upon as a translation of WO 9,952,841) for the same reasons as stated in the Office action mailed 01/27/2009.

Claims 26 and 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakahara et al (WO 9,952,841) (US 6,331,320 is relied upon as a translation of WO 9,952,841) and further in view of Ono et al (US 5,460,836) for the same reasons as stated in the Office action mailed 01/27/2009.

Application/Control Number: 10/530,679 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/22/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 7-10, 12, 13 of the Reply to the Office action mailed 01/27/2009, regarding the rejection of claims 1, 4-5, 32, 39, 2, 22-23, 6, 7, 21, 8, 24-25, 29, 28 and 26-27, Applicants state that claims "recite a method of processing a plant or a processed material with a gas having a temperature of 140 to 500°C and a pressure of 0.1 to 100 MPa. Nakahara, at best, discloses a method of extracting aromatic compounds by treating plant materials with supercritical or subcritical water. Nakahara fails to teach at least one recited claim element". Examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated in the previous Office action, Nakahara discloses a method of manufacturing a plant finished product, comprising the step of processing a plant or a processed material thereof with high-temperature and high-pressure gas/fluid (supercritical gas/fluid) under conditions where an oxygen concentration is 0 to 1 µg/mL. Nakahara et al disclose that "[i]t is well known that substances can exist in three states: as a solid, liquid or gas. If temperature and pressure are gradually increased, starting in a state in which a gas and a liquid mingle, when a certain pressure and a certain temperature (i.e., critical point) are exceeded, there exists a range in which the boundary surface between the gas and the liquid disappears, and the gas and liquid integrate as an inseparable entity to form a fluid state. Such a fluid is called a supercritical fluid, which is a high-density fluid having properties intermediate between gas and liquid. That is, this fluid, like a liquid, dissolves various substances, and has high fluidity like a gas" (Col. 3 lines 13-26). By definition,

Page 4

supercritical fluid is any substance at a temperature and pressure above its critical point. A supercritical gas, is more correctly known as a supercritical fluid, is a distinct state of matter that has the properties of the gas and fluid. As taught by Nakahara et al, the critical point for water is a temperature of 374°C and pressure of 221 atmospheres (22.1 MPa) (Col. 3 lines 27-28). Therefore, Nakahara et al, indeed, discloses a supercritical gas/fluid as instantly claimed. Further in this regard, it is noted that claim 4 recites the gas derived from fluid, and therefore Nakahara et al meets the limitation of claims 1 and 4 by teaching a supercritical gas/fluid derived from liquid.

In regard to Applicants' arguments regarding newly added claim 40 (pages 10, 13 and 14 of the Reply), it is noted that claim 40 recites similar pressure/temperature limitations as claim 3 and therefore is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3. In regard to the pressure/temperature limitations, it is noted that the combination of temperatures and pressures as recited is required to set the boiling point for water at these temperatures/pressures.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/530,679 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VERA STULII whose telephone number is (571)272-3221. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am-3:30 pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571) 272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lien Tran/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794 /Vera Stulii/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794